

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Ian Yaretsky <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Sunday, 1 April 2018 2:26 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

All genetic modification techniques must be comprehensively regulated as they pose enormous risks to human and animal health as well as the environment.

DNA is far more complex than was ever imagined and scientists are a considerable way off fully understanding it and all its interactions. For example, there are interactions between the strands of DNA inside the cell and the information in the cell wall itself. Therefore, any manipulation of DNA, whether it's inserting new genetic material in the cell or DNA editing or silencing is going to impact these interactions in ways that scientists cannot quantify.

DNA is multi-faceted. It must be able to be read both forward and backwards while producing different outcomes, different sections of DNA are selected and recombined, there's embedded DNA, encrypted DNA, 3D stacking of DNA and more. So even the slightest tampering with DNA is going to have unintended effects.

There also exists the potential for greater unintended consequences from manipulation of RNA because RNA is more powerful in determining gene expression than DNA. So, just like older DNA manipulation technology, new manipulation techniques such as CRISPR have the same potential to impact the entire genome of a plant, but to a greater extent. Therefore, all new manipulation techniques such as CRISPR must be strictly regulated and any products resulting from these techniques must be subject to rigorous, long-term, independent, peer-reviewed animal feeding and environmental impact safety studies.

In the last two decades there has been an exponential rise in diseases that you would expect to be associated with genetic manipulation technology, including dramatic increases in allergies and digestive illnesses, especially in the USA where most GM crops are grown. These health problems were almost unheard of when I was attending school a few decades ago. It is hard to believe GM crops and associated pesticides, whether produced with the GM crop or sprayed on the crop, have not played a major contributing role in the exponential rise in these diseases. Yet it is difficult to test for this because people do not live in a controlled environment. However, empirical evidence strongly suggests there are problems associated with GM crops because there are numerous reports of humans, farm animals and pets switching from diets containing GM ingredients to GM free diets that have seen dramatic improvements in their health.

Therefore, given what has been previously mentioned, all products from existing and new genetic technology must be subject to extremely strict standards including rigorous, long-term, independent, peer-reviewed animal feeding and environmental impact safety studies. Comprehensive profiling methods should be used to identify any unintentional mutations in GMOs. The precautionary principle needs to be adopted by FSANZ.

Furthermore, GM foods and their derivatives, such as an oil or additive in processed food, must be clearly labelled as containing GM ingredients so consumers, the vast majority whom want labelling, can be given the choice whether or not they want to consume a particular food.

Yours sincerely, Ian Yaretsky Caulfield North, Victoria, 3161, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Ian Yaretsky via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Ian provided an email address (iany@ozemail.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Ian Yaretsky at iany@ozemail.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Joanne Bishop <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 2 April 2018 6:51 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

GM foods and animals need more research- preferable stick to nature and avoid all together-

Yours sincerely, Joanne Bishop Katoomba, New South Wales, 2780, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Joanne Bishop via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Joanne provided an email address (joanneb40@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Joanne Bishop at joanneb40@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Val Barry <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 2 April 2018 8:34 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

The important point in this is the Australian public's right of choice and the only way of ensuring that is compulsory labeling of GM product.

Yours sincerely, Val Barry

_____ This email was sent by Val Barry via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Val provided an email address (valmax@skymesh.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Val Barry at valmax@skymesh.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Peter Hill <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 2 April 2018 9:27 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

•Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. •CRISPR was invented only five years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off-target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments..

Yours sincerely, Peter Hill Kingston, Australian Capital Territory, 2604, Australien

_____ This email was sent by Peter Hill via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Peter provided an email address (hill@southernphone.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Peter Hill at hill@southernphone.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Renee Shevlin <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 2 April 2018 10:10 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Our whole way of life needs regulation to ensure a wide range of interests are met such as health, safety and environmental concerns. The long term implications are just not known and we need to fully understand the impacts of such technology before we unregulate. Who is benefiting from these changes? It is not the community or the environment only major corporations and biotech companies who stand to profit at the expense of everyone else. It will end up like America, that is not a good thing. We the people that of Australia have a duty to stand up for what is right- if there is nothing to hide why make these changes?

Yours sincerely, Renee Shevlin North Warrandyte, Victoria, 3113, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Renee Shevlin via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Renee provided an email address (renee2336@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Renee Shevlin at renee2336@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Don Lazzaro <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 2 April 2018 10:36 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I am writing to express more concerns on the spread of GM Foods.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food.

They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Don Lazzaro

_____ This email was sent by Don Lazzaro via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Don provided an email address (don.lazzaro@pureharvest.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Don Lazzaro at don.lazzaro@pureharvest.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: andrew niemeyer <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 2 April 2018 10:39 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. Regretfully this is a long term study and I am not convinced that any government organisation has the recourses to objectively assess those dangers without immense pressure from manufacturers of such cropland pesticide manufacturers , accompanied by their usual practices of legal action This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null sergeants.

The raising of GM modified crops and plants requires the use of highly toxic and carcinogenic chemicals that destroy soil bacteria, enter the food chain and with their runoff polite rivers and oceans. Viz: great barrier reef.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate and all GMO food products must be labelled as such and let people have their freedom of choice. If compulsory labelling was enforced then the pressure (from manufacturers) for any favourable results would be mollified. andrew niemeyer Leura, New South Wales, 2780, Australia

_____ This email was sent by andrew niemeyer via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however andrew provided an email address (ajtn@bigpond.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to andrew niemeyer at ajtn@bigpond.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Adam Currie <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 2 April 2018 11:09 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Adam Currie

_____ This email was sent by Adam Currie via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Adam provided an email address (Adamkmcurree@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Adam Currie at Adamkmcurree@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Joy Danielson <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 2 April 2018 2:08 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose risks & all genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed IN OUR FOOD. THEY ALSO SHOULD BE LABELLED SO WE ARE FULLY INFORMED.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks & can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA.

Yours sincerely, Joy Danielson

_____ This email was sent by Joy Danielson via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Joy provided an email address (jy2life@bigpond.cdom) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Joy Danielson at jy2life@bigpond.cdom.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Julie Rivendell <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 2 April 2018 3:22 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new Genetically Modified (GM) breeding techniques'

I feel strongly that it is ESSENTIAL all genetically modified foods, including those using the new breeding techniques, are labelled.

It is becoming increasingly difficult to eliminate harmful substances in the food chain.

Increasing numbers of people in our community are interested in and adopting healthier lifestyles.

Independent, peer reviewed and replicated research is informing our knowledge and behaviours.

There's an emphasis on modelling these behaviours for our children and grandchildren, as we now understand how early habits influence lifelong health outcomes.

The long term economic benefits to the nation are significant.

Lobbyists advocating for the commercial interests of the GM industry had the original trials approved in Australia before the community knew anything about it.

The original trials were approved with significant financial benefits to the supporters and early adopters.

This new proposal is another attempt to get under the community radar before there has been adequate testing (and it would prevent future testing) or even adhere to the basic scientific "precautionary principle".

Other countries are placing a higher priority on Food Safety and Australia should join them.

Yours sincerely,

Julie Rivendell.

This email was sent by Julie Rivendell via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Julie provided an email address (julie@rivendells.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Julie Rivendell at julie@rivendells.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Chris Morrison <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 2 April 2018 4:18 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Chris Morrison

This email was sent by Chris Morrison via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Chris provided an email address (chris-morrison@xtra.co.nz) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Chris Morrison at chris-morrison@xtra.co.nz.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Miguel Pez <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 2 April 2018 4:55 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To FSANZ;

I urge you to ensure that all new genetic modification techniques are fully assessed for safety before they are allowed into our foods. Each product should be tested comprehensively and independently from other tests and products to ensure absolute safety. Also, any food available in the market that may contain these GM products should be labeled accordingly. There is a large segment of community that demand the labelling of GM products and it is only ethically correct to permit these people to exercise their right to know what they are buying and ultimately ingesting.

Yours sincerely, Miguel Pez Kordabup, Western Australia, 6333, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Miguel Pez via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Miguel provided an email address (Miguel.pez54@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Miguel Pez at Miguel.pez54@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Vanessa Errol <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 2 April 2018 5:53 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I have great concerns for our food chain with the tampering of our food and plants with genetic engineering.

I grow and buy organically as the amount of toxins and unknown possible genetic changes that are getting in the food chain is a concern for me and I consider it a genuine health hazard.

All new modification techniques needs to be assessed individually for all products using this system as changes, plus the use of toxic chemicals and CRISPR can possibly have an effect, which could be passed on to humans and animals, whilst affecting our DNA. There is a suggestion that RNA interference can happen and thus the need for assessment of their safety before being added to our food supply.

Yours sincerely, Vanessa Errol

_____ This email was sent by Vanessa Errol via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Vanessa provided an email address (van@errolhardie.id.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Vanessa Errol at van@errolhardie.id.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Sharon Ramel <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 2 April 2018 6:12 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re:Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To Whom It May Concern

As a concerned citizen and farmer I write imploring you to independently test every genetically modified crop and food that enters into this Australia. It appalls me that you have to decide that genetically modified foods will not be assessed for safety.

These technologies and owned, operated and manipulated by some of the most powerful and dare I say corrupt companies on the planet today.

I read the labels of every food I purchase – many remain on the shelf as I cannot be assured that GM foods have not been used in the making of the food product.

If the massive biotechnology industry gets its way, Australia will be the first country in the world to deregulate a range of new genetic modification (GM) techniques in animals, plants and microbes. They will enter our food chain and our environment with no safety testing and no labelling. The risks are enormous and the results could be catastrophic. Please ensure the safety of our people, the animals, and land that is so sacred and unique.

Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks these new GM techniques pose. They recommended that products derived from them require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments

It is debatable whether canola oil should even be available for human consumption. Canola oil is extracted from rapeseeds that have been bred to contain less of some unfavorable substances that we cannot metabolise. Most of the world's canola crop is genetically modified – I personally choose to not consumer anything with canola oil be it GM or not. A toxic solvent called hexane is used to extract the oil from the seeds. Trace amounts of hexane have sometimes been found in cooking oils. Thus for this and other reasons I refuse to consume it.

Please consider the future – your actions will have a potential harmful impact for more than seven generations. I do not believe you have the right to use both the human and animal population as a giant experiment for these mega corporations.

We believe in regenerative sustainable agricultural systems. That we cannot keep poisoning the planet and our people with the food we produce.

Yours sincerely, Sharon Ramel Orchid Valley, Western Australia, 6394, Australia

This email was sent by Sharon Ramel via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Sharon provided an email address (sharonramel@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Sharon Ramel at sharonramel@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit:
www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Deborah Thomson <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 2 April 2018 7:44 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

It is essential to have GM products labeled as GM. The organic food I eat is labeled because the farmers are proud of their product and the checking and testing and Certification of their organic goods.

I am sure all GM farmers and producers will also be immensely proud of what they create. Allow them the joy of having their products labeled as GM. Don't hide their achievements under a bushel!

Yours sincerely, Deborah Thomson Research, Victoria, 3095, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Deborah Thomson via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Deborah provided an email address (artmonet@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Deborah Thomson at artmonet@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Anna Rosner-Bierenga <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 3 April 2018 5:09 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

No GM foods Please!

Yours sincerely, Anna Rosner-Bierenga

_____ This email was sent by Anna Rosner-Bierenga via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Anna provided an email address (ojaslelystad@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Anna Rosner-Bierenga at ojaslelystad@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Maria van der Voort <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 3 April 2018 7:19 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I feel deeply concerned about unassessed genetically modified foods. Why aren't they going to be tested on safety? Imported seeds fumigated, gm foods, pasteurized/homogenized and anti biotics infested cows milk, soil deprivation, higher air pollution, plastic pollution everywhere on Earth, and the list goes on. What's left to be trusted? Nothing!

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

Abolish supermarkets/multinationals selling GM foods/products, they are the poison on our Earth, a health risk to ALL!

Yours sincerely, Maria van der Voort Hurstbridge, Victoria, 3099, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Maria van der Voort via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Maria provided an email address (baubina55@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Maria van der Voort at baubina55@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Nick Sullivan <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 3 April 2018 8:16 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I strongly support testing and labelling for all genetically modified foods in Australia and New Zealand.
Key points to note:

- Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.
- CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.
- Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

- GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Nicholas Sullivan

_____ This email was sent by Nick Sullivan via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Nick provided an email address (nick.sullivan@mac.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Nick Sullivan at nick.sullivan@mac.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Tove Jensen <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 3 April 2018 10:03 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear Food Regulator at Food Standards Australia New Zealand,

I have recently become aware that the products of new genetic modification technologies, referred to as new breeding technologies (NBTs), are not required to be labeled as GMO under Food Standards Code 1.5.2. Please consider changing the definition of foods produced under genetic technology to include NBTs.

I am concerned that there has not been adequate research into the unintended consequences of NBTs such as the cumulative effects of multiple small changes in a complex biological environment. I think it is also important to assess the effects of NBTs over a long, multigenerational, progression of time before can be certain of risk in each individual case of an organism modified by NBT. Please ere on the side of caution in considering the impact of regulatory definitions and language. Be as inclusive as possible and do not make assumptions such as comparing NBT to natural mutations.

Products created using NBT should be regulated like GMOs.

Thank you for your consideration, Tove Jensen

_____ This email was sent by Tove Jensen via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Tove provided an email address (tovissima@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Tove Jensen at tovisima@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Christine Hooper <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 3 April 2018 10:06 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To Food Regulator Food Standards Australia New Zealand,

I have serious concerns about proposed deregulation of new genetic modification techniques and the proposal that they will have no safety testing or labeling to inform consumers. Australia is known for it's "clean" environment and food. This could jeopardize our very lucrative agricultural and horticultural industries.

Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks these new GM techniques pose. They recommended that products derived from them require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Christine Hooper

_____ This email was sent by Christine Hooper via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Christine provided an email address (chrislhooper1050@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Christine Hooper at chrislhooper1050@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.